

**Trimley St Mary Parish Council  
Response To Site Specific Allocations**

**607 - We consider this area suitable for small development only.**

**383c – This area is unsuitable for development as this land separates the villages and the only current access would be via Thurmans Lane or Church Lane.**

**383d – Unsuitable. This area is Greenfield and has same access problems as above.**

**383e – Unsuitable. This area is Greenfield and we strongly feel that no development should take place north of the A14.**

**383f – Unsuitable This area is Greenfield with limited access.**

**383g – Unsuitable. This area is Greenfield with limited access.**

**451e – Unsuitable. This are is Greenfield with no access.**

**451f – Unsuitable. Greenfield with limited access.**

**451g – Unsuitable. This area preserves the green boundary between Trimley St Mary and Felixstowe and protects residents from houses feeding traffic on to the High Rd.**

**451h – Unsuitable. This is an area of green separation.**

**649 – Unsuitable. This area is not practical for development with limited access.**

**752 – Unsuitable. This area is Greenfield with limited access.**

**726 – Unsuitable. This area impacts on the character of the villages and is a Greenfield site. Large industrial development would cause light pollution and blight the approach to the villages.**

**726a & b – Unsuitable. No Access.**

**726c – Unsuitable. Development in this area would create a precedent drawing containers towards the village.**

**ADDITIONAL COMMENTS:**

**Trimley St Mary Parish Council feel that building 1600 homes on this peninsula is flawed. We have one main road through our village, which is already congested. We believe that the homes should be distributed around the District due to the limitations of the A14 as well as the High Rd. We suggest that not sufficient emphasis has been placed on distributing housing around the District.**