

**Trimley St Mary Parish Council
Response to Core Strategy**

SECTION SP2: General Comment

This council broadly agrees with the way settlement types are sub-divided.

We think that our village is correctly positioned within the Table at Appendix 1 but would comment that there have been in excess of 1000 homes built in the village in the last 30 years and that no more significant development should be considered.

We feel that Trimley St Mary should not be shown as a 'settlement considered capable of accommodating more strategic levels of housing growth' as this removes our need to remain separate from Felixstowe and address our own local needs.

SECTION SP11: General Comment

This council agrees with the type and scales of housing, employment and retail provision shown in Table 1 but notes that SP11 Item B states 'modest growth.'

SECTION 3 and 4: General Comment

Para 3.04 – We believe the use of the word 'perceived' to be erroneous and that residents quality of life will be affected.

Para 3.41 – We would challenge the validity of the numbers used in the paragraph.

SP 4 (b) – We seek clarification that the improved access would be for pedestrian and cyclist access and not vehicular.

SP 18 – There should be no use of Greenfield land if there is no employment growth to justify it.

DC 12 – We strongly oppose land numbers 726, 726a and 726b being used for warehousing/storage. This is Greenfield and totally unsuitable for large scale industrial development.